

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 24 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUIHUA ZHENG,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-72687

Agency No. A96-056-747

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 14, 2008\*\*

Before: HALL, O'SCANNLAIN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Ruihua Zheng, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' decision affirming the Immigration Judge's ("IJ") denial of her application for withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *see Hakeem v. INS*, 273 F.3d 812, 816 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's determination that Zheng failed to show that it is more likely than not that she will be subject to persecution if removed to China. *See Hoxha v. Ashcroft*, 319 F.3d 1179, 1185 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Prasad v. INS*, 47 F.3d 336, 340 (9th Cir. 1995).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**